

	<p align="center">ALL INDIA ALLAHABAD BANK PENSIONERS & RETIREES ASSOCIATION (Affiliated to ALL INDIA BANK PENSIONERS AND RETIREES CONFEDERATION) Central Office: 14, India Exchange Place, Kolkata – 700001 Registration No.6888 (Under Indian Trade Union Act-1926)</p>		
<p align="center">AIBOC</p>	<p align="center">President – T. P. Shrivastava Contact : 9415317259 e-mail: trivenishrivastava@gmail.com</p>	<p align="center">General Secretary - Sudhir Kumar Verma Contact : 9893364334 e-mail: vermasudhir1952@rediffmail.com</p>	<p align="center">AIBPARC</p>
<p align="center">Address - P-11, Priyadarshini Paramount, Near Aashima Mall, Hoshangabad Road, Bhopal - 462026</p>			

Letter No. AIABPARA/2017/ 10

Bhopal – 24-03-2017

The General Secretary,
AIBPARC, Kolkata

Dear Sir,

Subject – Seeking Direction to PSBs from Prime Minister In The Matter Of Pension for
Implementation Of Decisions Of SC For Similarly Placed Retirees

It has been experience of all concerned that if any case relating Pension Regulations 1995 is decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court against any single bank, that Bank implements the order only on the pensioners who were the party in case. All other similarly placed pensioners/retirees of the same Bank are not given the benefit decided by the Court.

The example is in the matter of Second Pension Option to Compulsorily Retied Officers of Punjab and Sind Bank. Supreme Court in SPL (Civil) No. 8506 of 2016 dismissed the appeal of Punjab & Sind Bank, thus order dt.18-12-2015 of High Court of Punjab & Haryana, became applicable on the Bank. This order was to give Second Option to Compulsorily Retied Officer, but bank has given Second Pension Option to only one retiree - Sh. Surinder Jit Singh, who had fought the case. The other similar officers have been denied of Second Pension Option despite representations.

Another example is of VRS-2000 Scheme retired pensioners who were not given the benefit of Regulation-29(5) by the Banks. A judgment by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 1942 of 2009 - Bank of India Vs K.Mohandas, decided on 27-3-2009 against four other public sector banks also, was delivered in favour of pensioners for Reg-29(5).The review petition of I.B.A. was dismissed thereby in Aug-2009, the I.B.A. issued circular to all public sector banks to pass on the benefit of Reg-29(5) to VRS-2000 retired pensioners. But Allahabad Bank, Vijaya Bank and State Bank of Mysore preferred SLPs in Supreme Court. The case against Allahabad bank & Vijay Bank could be decided on 13.2.2014. These two banks gave the benefit of Reg-29(5) to the pensioners in April 2014. But State bank of Mysore is still in Supreme Court. The pending SLPs by SBM are 301 that were last heard in Supreme Court on 16.12.2016 are as under –

Civil Appeal 4711-4800 /2014- = 90 SLPs+Civil Appeal 11342-11435/2014 = 94+SLPs Civil Appeal 9533-9649/2014 = 117 SLPs = Total 301 SLPs.

Thus eligible pensioners of SBM are still waiting for judgment of Supreme Court.

In view of the bitter facts mentioned above, we have written a letter to Hon'ble Prime Minister with a request to arrange to issue the direction to Public Sector Banks, that if any common matter of pension under Pension Regulations 1995, is decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court, may be against any one Public Sector Bank, it should be implemented by All Public Sector Banks, for similarly placed retirees, to follow the principle of equity granted under Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The copy of letter is attached for your kind study. We suggest that all the affiliates of AIBPARC may be asked from your level to write similar letters to Prime Minister with a view to mount pressure in the interest of large number of pensioners deprived on many counts in last five settlements.

Yours Faithfully



(S.K.Verma)
General Secretary